

Dynamic Self-Aware Runtime Software for Exascale Systems

<u>Christian Engelmann</u>, Geoffroy Vallée, and Thomas Naughton Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Prof. Frank Mueller *North Carolina State University*

C. Engelmann, G. Vallée, T. Naughton, and F. Mueller. Dynamic Self-Aware Runtime Software for Exascale Systems. Oct. 4-5, 2012. OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Why Do wee Need A Dynamic Self-Aware Runtime Software for Exascale Systems?

Background and motivation

- Power consumption is a major component of operating costs (1MW/year = \$1,000,000/year)
- With lower component reliability and higher component counts faults will occur frequently
- At 1 billion cores, even a tiny amount of load imbalance can severely affect performance
- System power consumption, resilience, and performance properties change dynamically
- Application performance and resilience needs change as well

Objective

- Offer awareness about system properties and application needs
- Provide autonomous adaptation to dynamically changing system properties and application needs
- Optimize power consumption, resilience, and performance

Preliminary accomplishments

- Energy-aware job scheduling
- Energy-aware computing using and voltage/frequency scaling
- Proactive fault tolerance using process migration
- Load balancing via process migration or data repartitioning

A Dynamic Self-Aware Runtime for Energy Efficiency, Resilience, and Performance

Proposed concept

- A runtime that is aware of dynamic changes and able to autonomously respond
- Employs a control loop with system monitoring (observe), decision models (decide), and corrective actions (react)
- Awareness APIs offer a holistic view of the current system state
- A controller processes the system state and application QoS requests using models
- Feedback interfaces enable corrective actions



Proposed Research In A Dynamic Self-Aware Runtime for Exascale Systems

System monitoring:

- Load/Power awareness:
 - Core/node utilization
- <u>Reliability awareness:</u>
 - Early failure indications
 - Core/node temperatures
- Progress awareness:
 - Comm. patterns/wait times
 - Application epochs

Decision models:

- Energy-, load-, and progressaware power management
- Load-, progress-, and reliabilityaware scheduling and migration

- Single and across-node models
- Feedback/feed-forward control
 Corrective actions:
- Power management
- Task scheduling
- Process pinning and migration

Self-aware runtime framework:

- Monitoring via OS, IPMI, SMART
- Data dissemination using Gossip
- Event-based framework using out-of-band and/or piggybacking
- Integrated with vendor RAS system, OS, programming runtime, and application

Targeted Dynamic Optimization for Energy Efficiency, Resilience, and Performance

Task scheduling to improve:

<u>Energy efficiency</u> and <u>load</u>
 <u>balance</u> by matching system
 resources with application needs

Single-node power management to improve a node's:

- <u>Energy efficiency</u> by slowing down underutilized cores
- <u>Load balance</u> by slowing down cores that are ahead and speeding up those behind

Single-node process pinning and migration to improve a node's:

- <u>Reliability</u> by wear-leveling cores
- <u>Reliability</u> by distributing heat

Across-node power management to improve a system's:

 <u>Energy efficiency</u> by slowing down all cores

Across-node process migration to improve a system's:

- <u>Load balance</u> by moving processes from over- to underutilized nodes
- <u>Reliability</u> by wear-leveling node usage
- <u>Reliability</u> by distributing hot spots across nodes
- <u>Reliability</u> by anticipating imminent node failures (proactive fault tolerance)

C. Engelmann, G. Vallée, T. Naughton, and F. Mueller. Dynamic Self-Aware Runtime Software for Exascale Systems. Oct. 4-5, 2012.